David Benfield Award Competition



Oral Presentation

Presenter	Affiliation	Title
		Comparative adsorption of Porcine Reproductive
Joaquin	University of	and Respiratory Syndrome Virus strains to
Alvarez-Norambuena	Minnesota	Minnesota soils
Guilherme Arruda	Iowa State	Swine disease reporting system: a tool for
Cezar	University	emerging swine disease investigation
		A swine influenza candidate vaccine platform
Gabriela do	Cornell	based on a consensus sequence for
Nascimento	University	hemagglutinin of H1 subtype
		A trivalent Pichinde virus vectored vaccine
		expressing HA proteins of H1N1, H1N2 and
	University of	H3N2 influenza viruses elicit a balanced
	Nebraska-	protective immunity against influenza infection
Sushmita Kumari	Lincoln	of pigs
		Engineering an African Swine Fever Virus
	Ohio State	Multiepitope Protein for Use in an ASF
Carolyn Lee	University	Nanoparticle-based Subunit Vaccine
		Detection and frequency of potential zoonotic
Milagros Lostaunau	FMV UNMSM	Rotavirus in suckling pigs in the south of Peru
		Addressing biocontainment through
		environmental contamination assessment in
	University of	farms housing PRRS Lineage 1C 1-4-4 positive
Claudio Melini	Minnesota	pigs
		Senecavirus a (SVA) and Foot-and-Mouth Disease
		Virus (FMDV) Viral-Like-Particle (VLP) Based
	Chulalongkor	Vaccines Induced Cellular and Humoral Immune
Kepalee Saeng-chuto	n University	Response in Pigs
	Iowa State	PRRSView: An analytical platform for the
Anugrah Saxena	University	assessment of PRRSV ORF5 genetic sequences
	Ohio State	Contrasting PRRSV temporal lineage patterns at
Yi-Fan Shen	University	the production system, state, and regional levels



Lightning talk + Poster Presentation*

Presenter	Affiliation	Title
	South Dakota State	Overexpression of IFITM3 induces autophagy in
Shamiq Aftab	University	H1299 cells and enhances SVA replication
		Switching immune target: applying MJPRRS
	College of Veterinary	classifications to characterize how PRRSV GP5-
Julia Baker	Medicine	epitope C changes over time
		Identification of conserved amino acid residue on
	University of	PRRSV glycoprotein 2 critical for infectivity in
Jayeshbhai Chaudhari	Nebraska-Lincoln	macrophages
Kassanddra Durazo-	University of	PRRSV Infection of alveolar macrophages
Martinez	Nebraska-Lincoln	Promotes Inflammation and Inhibits Apoptosis
		GP5-specific antibody response to porcine
la o z me	University of	reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus
Jing Huang	Minnesota	challenge in vaccinated swine
		Furin cleavage is required for swine acute diarrhea
	Chungnam National	syndrome coronavirus spike protein-mediated cell–
Jinman Kim	University, Korea	cell fusion
1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	University of	Identification and characterization of nidovirus-
Mehdi Maury Laouedj	Montreal	host molecular interactions
		Experimental pig study comparing pathogenicity of
	L. 2011. / 12 Al.	PRRSV 1-4-4 L1C variant with other Lineage 1
Gaurav Rawal	Iowa State University	strains
	Univesity of Illilnois	Deletion of CD163 domain five protects pigs from
	at Urbana-	infection with porcine reproductive and respiratory
Brianna Salgado	Champaign	syndrome virus (PRRSV) infection
		Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome
	University of Illinois	virus induces degradation of the promyelocytic
Chia-Ming Su	Urbana-Champaign	leukemia protein and promotes viral replication
		Investigation of fetal gene expression patterns in
		the liver, heart, and kidney for prediction of
Kristen Walker	USDA APDL	reproductive failure
		Establish a pregnant sow-neonatal pig model
Lufan Yang	University of Illinois	system to study influenza-microbiome interactions
	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Characterization of the subclinical infection of
		porcine deltacoronavirus in grower pigs under
Lu Yen	Iowa State University	experimental conditions

^{*}Students in lightning talk competition will also be evaluated in poster presentation for the same topic.



Poster Presentation

Presenter	Affiliation	Title
Betsy Armenta-Leyva (1)	Iowa State University	Effect of heating or diluting swine oral fluid samples on qPCR detection
Betsy Armenta-Leyva (2)	Iowa State University	Efficiency standardized PRRSV serum RT-qPCR results
Chi Chen	University of Illinois	Establishing pregnant sow-fetus models to assess safety and efficacy of influenza vaccines
Whitney Lewis	University of Texas at Austin	Developing DNA-nanopore Sensors for Direct Detection and Differentiation of Infectious and Noninfectious Porcine Viruses
Berenice Munguia- Ramirez (1)	Iowa State University	Effect of freeze-thaw on PRRSV RNA detection by RT-qPCR
Berenice Munguia- Ramirez (2)	Iowa State University	Use of a porcine endogenous reference gene (internal sample control) in a PRRSV RT-qPCR
Marie-Jeanne Pesant	Université de Montréal	Tenofovir and pro-drug tenofovir disoproxil fumarate inhibit porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus [PRRSv] in vitro
Kaylyn Rudy	Purdue University	Viral load and inflammatory response in non- lymphoid fetal tissues following late gestation PRRSV-2 challenge
Rachel Schambow	University of Minnesota	A participatory approach to enhancing the passive surveillance of African and Classical swine fevers
Grzegorz Tarasiuk	Iowa State University	Effect of pen size and number of ropes on behaviors associated with oral fluid sampling



Oral Presentation Evaluation Criteria

Impact of research – 10 points

• The student clearly presented the importance of research problem.

Actual data - 40 points

- Experimental design, procedures & methods were clearly stated.
- The quality and quantity of the experiments was appropriate.
- Appropriate controls and statistical methods were utilized.
- Conclusions were reflective of the data presented.

Presentation - 50 points

- The presentation was well organized and clearly delivered.
- All the figures and tables were clearly explained.
- Figures and tables were easy for the audience to interpret.
- Slides were easily read at the back of the room.
- Student used good public speaking technique.
- Presentation was delivered in the allocated time.
- Responses to questions demonstrated the student's ability to defend the work (not apply to lightning presentation).

Total – 100 points



Poster Presentation Evaluation Criteria

Impact of research - 20 points

- Background and rationale: Importance of research problem and/or disease conditions are clearly stated.
- Objectives: The objectives are clearly stated.

Actual research - 50 points

- The study design is clear and appropriate.
- The analysis (statistics) is clear and appropriate.
- The results are clear and correctly presented.
- Conclusions are reflective of the data presented (no over-interpretation).
- · Limitations are addressed/recognized

Presentation – 30 points

- Poster is well-organized (adequate/ordered introduction, methods, results and conclusions. The reader could understand the poster in the absence of the presenter)
- Poster is visually appealing (consider: font type/ size, Table/ Figure size-easy to read from 3-feet away)
- The amount of information is appropriate (not too little, not extensive; no large amount of texts that are hard to read)
- Responses to questions demonstrated the student's ability to understand the work.